arXiv:1205.2517v1 [math.NT] 11 May 2012

Indices of inseparability for elementary abelian p-extensions

Kevin Keating
Department of Mathematics
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611
USA

`keating@ufl.edu`

March 3, 2013

Abstract

Let K be a local field whose residue field is a finite field of characteristic p, and let L/K be a finite totally ramified Galois extension. Fried [3] and Heiermann [5] defined the "indices of inseparability" of L/K, a refinement of the ramification data of L/K. We give a method for computing the indices of inseparability of the extension L/K in terms of the norm group $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$ in the case where K has characteristic p and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ is an elementary abelian p-group with a single ramification break. In some cases our methods lead to simple formulas for the indices of inseparability.

1 Introduction

Let K be a local field with finite residue field $\overline{K}$ and let L/K be a finite separable extension. The *indices of inseparability* of L/K were defined by Fried [3] for fields of characteristic p, and by Heiermann [5] for fields of characteristic 0. The indices of inseparability of L/K constitute a refinement of the usual ramification data for L/K, as described for instance in Chapter IV of [7], in that the indices of inseparability determine the ramification data, but the ramification data does not always determine the indices of inseparability. More precisely, suppose L/K is Galois, with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Then G has a filtration by the lower ramification subgroups $G = G_0 \geq G_1 \geq \dots$, as defined in [7, IV §1], and the ramification data of L/K is determined by the sequence $(|G_i|)_{i\geq 0}$. Each ramification subgroup G_i is normal in G, and the quotients G_i/G_{i+1} for $i \geq 1$ are elementary abelian p-groups. If these quotients are all cyclic then the ramification data of L/K determines the indices of inseparability, but if there is some

$i \geq 1$ such that the quotient G_i/G_{i+1} is not cyclic then the indices of inseparability cannot be completely determined from the orders of the groups G_i.

Let K^{alg} be an algebraic closure of K. Class field theory gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of finite abelian subextensions L/K of K^{alg}/K and the set of closed finite-index subgroups H of $K^\times$. This correspondence maps the extension L/K onto the group $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$, where $\mathrm{N}_{L/K} : L \to K$ is the norm map. In principle it should be possible to determine the indices of inseparability of L/K in terms of the norm group $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$. In fact it is straightforward to compute the ramification data of L/K in terms of $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$ (see for instance Theorem 2 in [7, XV §2]), but extracting the additional information contained in the indices of inseparability seems to be considerably more difficult. Perhaps this should not be surprising, since the ramification data of L/K can be defined in terms of the norm $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}$, as in [2, III §3], but this definition does not extend in any obvious way to give a general norm-based definition of the indices of inseparability.

In this paper we consider a special case of the problem of determining the indices of inseparability of an abelian extension in terms of its norm group. In order to isolate the difficulties involved in passing from the usual ramification data to the refinement given by the indices of inseparability we restrict our attention to the case of an extension with a single ramification break. Let L/K be a totally ramified finite abelian extension whose Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ has a single ramification break $b \geq 1$. Then $G \cong G_b/G_{b+1}$ is an elementary abelian p-group. Thus if $[L : K] > p$ we are in a situation where knowledge of the ramification data does not determine the indices of inseparability. In sections 3–5 we consider extensions of local fields of characteristic p. In section 6 we show how the results of sections 3–5 can be extended to apply to certain extensions of local fields of characteristic 0.

2 Ramification and indices of inseparability

In this section we define the ramification data and indices of inseparability for a finite totally ramified Galois extension of local fields. We also describe the relation between these two sets of data. In particular, we show how to compute the ramification data in terms of the indices of inseparability.

Let K be a local field with finite residue field $\overline{K}$ of characteristic $p > 0$ and let v_K be the normalized valuation on K. Let $e = v_K(p)$ be the absolute ramification index of K; then $e = \infty$ if and only if $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K = \{\alpha \in K : v_K(\alpha) \geq 0\}$ be the ring of integers of K, let $\mathcal{M}_K$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$, and for $r \geq 1$ let $U_K^r = 1 + \mathcal{M}_K^r$. Let L/K be a finite separable totally ramified Galois subextension of K^{alg}/K of degree $n = ap^\nu$, with $p \nmid a$.

We begin by recalling the definition of the ramification data of L/K. Set $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ and let π_L be a uniformizer for L. For a nonnegative real number x we define the xth ramification group of G (with respect to the lower numbering) by

$$G_x = \{\sigma \in G : v_L(\sigma(\pi_L) - \pi_L) \geq x + 1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We easily deduce the following: G_x is a normal subgroup of G which is independent of the choice of π_L; $G_x \le G_y$ for $y \le x$; $G_0 = G$; $G_x = \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large x; and $G_x = G_i$, where $i = \lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer such that $i \ge x$.

Let $b \ge 0$. If there is $\sigma \in G$ such that $v_L(\sigma(\pi_L) - \pi_L) = b + 1$ then we say that b is a lower ramification break for G; in this case b must be an integer. This is equivalent to having $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_b \ne G_{b+1}$. If $b \ge 1$ then there is a group embedding of G_b/G_{b+1} into $\mathcal{M}_L^b/\mathcal{M}_L^{b+1}$ which carries σG_{b+1} onto $\dfrac{\sigma(\pi_L) - \pi_L}{\pi_L} + \mathcal{M}_L^{b+1}$. Hence G_b/G_{b+1} is an elementary abelian p-group.

We now recall the definition of the indices of inseparability $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_\nu$ of L/K as formulated by Fried in the case $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$ [3, pp. 232–233] (see also [4, §2]), and by Heiermann in the case $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ [5, §3]. Let R be the set of Teichmüller representatives for K; if $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$ then R is a subfield of K which can be identified with the residue field $\overline{K}$ of K. Given uniformizers π_K, π_L for K, L there are unique $c_h \in R$ such that $\pi_K = \displaystyle\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h \pi_L^{h+n}$. Let $0 \le j \le \nu$. If $c_h = 0$ for all $h \ge 0$ such that $v_p(h+n) \le j$ set $\tilde{\imath}_j = \infty$. Otherwise set

$$\tilde{\imath}_j = \min\{h \ge 0 : c_h \ne 0 \text{ and } v_p(h+n) \le j\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The indices of inseparability of L/K are now defined recursively by $i_\nu = \tilde{\imath}_\nu = 0$ and $i_j = \min\{\tilde{\imath}_j, i_{j+1} + ne\}$ for $j = \nu - 1, \dots, 1, 0$. If $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ then $\tilde{\imath}_j$ may depend on the choice of π_L (but not on the choice of π_K). Nevertheless, i_j is independent of the choice of uniformizers [5, Th. 7.1]. If $\mathrm{char}(K) = p$ then it is easily seen that $i_j = \tilde{\imath}_j$ does not depend on the choice of uniformizers. It follows immediately from the definitions that $0 = i_\nu \le i_{\nu-1} \le \cdots \le i_0$. Furthermore, if $v_p(i_j) = j' < j$ then $i_j = i_{j-1} = \cdots = i_{j'}$.

The connection between the ramification data of the extension L/K and the indices of inseparability of L/K can be described most easily in terms of the Hasse-Herbrand function of L/K, which is given by the formula

$$\phi_{L/K}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{|G_0 : G_t|}. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus $\phi_{L/K} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous increasing piecewise linear function which is differentiable at all values $x > 0$ except for the lower ramification breaks of L/K. If $x > 0$ is not a ramification break then the derivative of $\phi_{L/K}$ at x is given by $\phi'_{L/K}(x) = |G_x|/n$, where $n = ap^\nu = [L : K] = |G_0|$. Hence the ramification data for L/K is encoded in the function $\phi_{L/K}$.

One can recover the Hasse-Herbrand function $\phi_{L/K}$ (and hence the orders of the ramification subgroups) from the indices of inseparability of L/K by the formula

$$\phi_{L/K}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \min\{i_j + p^j x : 0 \le j \le \nu\} \tag{2.4}$$

(see [5, Cor. 6.11]). Let S be one of the segments that make up the graph of $\phi_{L/K}$. It follows from (2.4) that S can be extended to meet the y-axis at $(0, i_j/n)$ for some

$0 \le j \le \nu$. It follows that if L/K has k positive ramification breaks then the graph of $\phi_{L/K}$ determines $k+1$ indices of inseparability of L/K. In particular, if $k = \nu$ then the ramification data of L/K determines all $\nu+1$ indices of inseparability. The difficult cases where L/K has fewer than ν positive ramification breaks occur when L/K has a positive ramification break b such that the elementary abelian p-group G_b/G_{b+1} has rank greater than 1.

3 Abelian extensions with one break

In this section we assume that K is a local field of characteristic p with finite residue field $\overline{K}$, and that L/K is a totally ramified Galois extension with a single ramification break $b \ge 1$. These assumptions imply that L/K is an elementary abelian p-extension. The aim of this section is to give an explicit computation of the norm group $H = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$. In section 4 we will use this computation to get information about the subgroup of the additive group of K which corresponds to L/K under Artin-Schreier theory. We note that the methods we use here to compute H are essentially the same as those used in Chapter 6 of [6] to compute the norm groups of certain extensions of local fields of characteristic 0.

Set $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Then $G_b = G$ and $G_{b+1} = \{1\}$, so $G \cong G_b/G_{b+1}$ is an elementary abelian p-group, say $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$. Since K has characteristic p, class field theory implies that $p \nmid b$. It follows from (2.3) that the graph of the function $p^\nu \phi_{L/K}(x)$ consists of a line segment of slope p^ν from $(0,0)$ to (b, bp^ν) and a ray of slope 1 starting at (b, bp^ν). Hence by (2.4) we have $i_j \ge bp^\nu - bp^j$ for $0 \le j \le \nu$, with equality for $j = 0$ and $j = \nu$.

Let π_L be a uniformizer of L and let

$$g(X) = X^{p^\nu} + a_1 X^{p^\nu - 1} + \cdots + a_{p^\nu - 1} X + a_{p^\nu} \tag{3.1}$$

be the minimum polynomial for π_L over K. Then by [5, Prop. 3.12] we have

$$i_j = \tilde{\imath}_j = \min\{p^\nu v_K(a_k) - k : 1 \le k \le p^\nu,\ v_p(k) \le j\} \tag{3.2}$$

for $0 \le j \le \nu$. Set $t = -a_{p^\nu} = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\pi_L)$; then t is a uniformizer for K and $K \cong \overline{K}((t))$. For $k \ge 1$ write $k = k_0 + k_1 p^\nu$ with $1 \le k_0 \le p^\nu$ and set $a_k = t^{k_1} a_{k_0}$. Since $i_0 = bp^\nu - b$ it follows from (3.2) that $v_K(a_{b_0}) = b - b_1$, and hence that $v_K(a_b) = b$.

Let $\overline{K}^{alg}$ denote the algebraic closure of $\overline{K}$ in K^{alg}. For $k \ge 1$ such that $p \nmid k$ set

$$g_k(X) = (-1)^{k+1} \prod_{\zeta^k = 1} g(\zeta X^{1/k}), \tag{3.3}$$

where the product is taken over the kth roots of unity $\zeta \in \overline{K}^{alg}$. Then $g_k(X)$ is a monic polynomial of degree p^ν with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$ whose roots are the kth powers of the roots of $g(X)$. For $r \in \overline{K}^\times$ set $h_k^r(X) = -r^{p^\nu} \cdot g_k(-r^{-1}(X-1))$. Then $h_k^r(X)$ is a monic polynomial of degree p^ν with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$ whose set of roots is $\{1 - r\omega^k : g(\omega) = 0\}$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1 - r\pi_L^k) = -h_k^r(0) = r^{p^\nu} \cdot g_k(r^{-1}). \tag{3.4}$$

We have $g(X) = X^{p^\nu} + \tilde{g}(X) - t$, with $\tilde{g}(X) = a_1 X^{p^\nu - 1} + \cdots + a_{p^\nu - 1} X$. Choose $s \in \overline{K}^{alg}$ such that $s^k = r$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1 - r\pi_L^k) = (-1)^{k+1} r^{p^\nu} \left(\prod_{\zeta^k = 1} (\zeta^{p^\nu} s^{-p^\nu} - t + \tilde{g}(\zeta s^{-1})) \right). \tag{3.5}$$

Since $i_j \geq bp^\nu - bp^j$ it follows from (3.2) that $v_K(a_i) \geq \lceil (1 - p^{-1}) b \rceil$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^\nu - 1$. Since $2\lceil (1 - p^{-1}) b \rceil \geq b + 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1 - r\pi_L^k) &\equiv (-1)^{k+1} r^{p^\nu} \left(\prod_{\zeta^k = 1} (\zeta^{p^\nu} s^{-p^\nu} - t) \right) \cdot \\ &\qquad \left(1 + \sum_{\zeta^k = 1} \frac{\tilde{g}(\zeta s^{-1})}{\zeta^{p^\nu} s^{-p^\nu} - t} \right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} \quad (3.6) \\ &\equiv (1 - r^{p^\nu} t^k) \left(1 + \sum_{\zeta^k = 1} \frac{\zeta^{-p^\nu} s^{p^\nu} \tilde{g}(\zeta s^{-1})}{1 - t s^{p^\nu} \zeta^{-p^\nu}} \right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} \quad (3.7) \\ &\equiv (1 - r^{p^\nu} t^k) \left(1 + \sum_{\zeta^k = 1} \sum_{i=1}^{p^\nu - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_i \zeta^{-jp^\nu - i} s^{jp^\nu + i} \right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}}. \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{\zeta^k = 1} \zeta^{-jp^\nu - i}$ equals k if $k \mid jp^\nu + i$, and 0 otherwise, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1 - r\pi_L^k) &\equiv (1 - r^{p^\nu} t^k) \cdot \left(1 + k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 < i < p^\nu \\ k \mid jp^\nu + i}} t^j a_i s^{jp^\nu + i} \right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} \quad (3.9) \\ &\equiv (1 - r^{p^\nu} t^k) \cdot \left(1 + k \sum_{\substack{k \mid m \\ p^\nu \nmid m}} a_m r^{m/k} \right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} \quad (3.10) \\ &\equiv (1 - r^{p^\nu} t^k) \cdot \left(1 + k \sum_{p^\nu \nmid l} a_{lk} r^l \right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}}. \quad (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{E}_p(X) = \prod_{p \nmid c} (1 - X^c)^{-\mu(c)/c} \in \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$ denote the Artin-Hasse exponential series in characteristic p (cf. [1, III §1]), where μ denotes the Möbius function. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p\left(r\pi_L^k\right)) &= \prod_{p\nmid c} \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1 - r^c\pi_L^{ck})^{-\mu(c)/c} && (3.12)\\
&\equiv \prod_{p\nmid c} \left(1 - r^{cp^\nu}t^{ck}\right)^{-\mu(c)/c} \cdot \\
&\qquad \prod_{p\nmid c}\left(1 + ck\sum_{p^\nu\nmid l} a_{lck}r^{lc}\right)^{-\mu(c)/c} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} && (3.13)\\
&\equiv \overline{E}_p\left(r^{p^\nu}t^k\right)\cdot\left(1 - k\sum_{p\nmid c}\sum_{p^\nu\nmid l}\mu(c)a_{lck}r^{lc}\right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}}. && (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

By setting $m = lc$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p\left(r\pi_L^k\right)) &\equiv \overline{E}_p\left(r^{p^\nu}t^k\right)\cdot\left(1 - k\sum_{p\nmid c}\sum_{\substack{c\mid m\\ p^\nu\nmid m}}\mu(c)a_{mk}r^m\right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} && (3.15)\\
&\equiv \overline{E}_p\left(r^{p^\nu}t^k\right)\cdot\left(1 - k\sum_{p^\nu\nmid m}a_{mk}r^m\sum_{\substack{c\mid m\\ p\nmid c}}\mu(c)\right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} && (3.16)\\
&\equiv \overline{E}_p\left(r^{p^\nu}t^k\right)\cdot\left(1 - k\sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1}a_{kp^j}r^{p^j}\right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} && (3.17)\\
&\equiv \overline{E}_p\left(r^{p^\nu}t^k\right)\cdot\overline{E}_p\left(-k\sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1}a_{kp^j}r^{p^j}\right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}}. && (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2) and the inequality $i_j \geq (p^\nu - p^j)b$ we see that $v_K(a_i) \geq f_i$ for all $i \geq 1$, where $f_i = \left\lceil b - \dfrac{p^{v_p(i)}b - i}{p^\nu}\right\rceil$. For $1 \leq k \leq b$ and $0 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$ define

$$S_{kj} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z} : f_{kp^j} \leq h \leq b\}. \tag{3.19}$$

Let $c_{i,h} \in \overline{K}$ be such that $a_i = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} c_{i,h}t^h$. Then we can rewrite (3.18) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k)) &\equiv \overline{E}_p(r^{p^\nu}t^k)\cdot\overline{E}_p\left(-k\sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1}\sum_{h\in S_{kj}}c_{kp^j,h}r^{p^j}t^h\right) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}} && (3.20)\\
&\equiv \overline{E}_p(r^{p^\nu}t^k)\prod_{j=0}^{\nu-1}\prod_{h\in S_{kj}}\overline{E}_p(-kc_{kp^j,h}r^{p^j}t^h) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}}. && (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.2) that for $0 \le j \le \nu$ we have

$$i_j = \min\{hp^\nu - i : 1 \le i \le p^\nu,\ v_p(i) \le j,\ h \ge 1,\ c_{i,h} \ne 0\}. \tag{3.22}$$

Since $a_{i+p^\nu} = ta_i$ we see that $c_{i,h}$ depends only on the value of $hp^\nu - i$. It follows that

$$i_j = \min\{hp^\nu - i : i \ge 1,\ v_p(i) \le j,\ h \ge 1,\ c_{i,h} \ne 0\}. \tag{3.23}$$

Using the fact that $bp^\nu - bp^j \le i_j \le i_0 = bp^\nu - b$ we get

$$i_j = \min\{bp^\nu - i : b \le i \le bp^j,\ v_p(i) \le j,\ c_{i,b} \ne 0\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Finally, we note that the computations in this section completely determine the norm group of L/K:

Proposition 3.1 *The subgroup $H = N_{L/K}(L^\times)$ of $K^\times$ is generated by t, U_K^{b+1}, $(K^\times)^p$, and the set*

$$\{N_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k)) : r \in \overline{K},\ 1 \le k \le b,\ p \nmid k\}. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof: Since b is the only lower ramification break of L/K, it follows from [7, V §6, Cor. 3] that $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(U_L^{b+1}) = U_K^{b+1}$. Since $K^\times/H \cong G$ is killed by p we see that H contains $(K^\times)^p$. Since $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\pi_L) = t$, we conclude that H contains all the listed elements. Since $L^\times$ is generated by π_L, U_L^{b+1}, $(L^\times)^p$, and the set

$$\{\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k) : r \in \overline{K},\ 1 \le k \le b,\ p \nmid k\}, \tag{3.26}$$

we see that H is generated by the listed elements. □

4 Artin-Schreier theory

In this section we interpret the norm computations from section 3 in terms of Artin-Schreier theory. As in section 3 we assume that K is a local field of characteristic p with finite residue field $\overline{K}$, and that L/K is an elementary abelian p-extension of degree p^ν with a single ramification break $b \ge 1$.

Let K^{ab}/K denote the maximum abelian subextension of K^{alg}/K. For $\eta \in K^\times$ let σ_η denote the element of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ that corresponds to η under class field theory. For each $\beta \in K$ let $\rho_\beta \in K^{alg}$ be a root of the polynomial $X^p - X - \beta$. Then $\rho_\beta \in K^{ab}$, so we can set $[\beta, \eta)_K = \sigma_\eta(\rho_\beta) - \rho_\beta$. This construction is independent of the choice of ρ_β and defines a bilinear pairing

$$[\ ,\ )_K : K \times K^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\wp : K \to K$ denote the Artin-Schreier operator $\wp(x) = x^p - x$. Then the kernel of $[\ ,\ )_K$ on the left is $\wp K$, and the kernel of $[\ ,\ )_K$ on the right is $(K^\times)^p$. Let $\Omega_K = K\,dt$ denote the module of Kähler differentials of K, and for $\omega \in \Omega_K$ let $\mathrm{Res}_0(\omega)$ denote the residue of ω at 0. By Schmid's theorem [7, XIV §5, Prop. 15] the pairing (4.1) can be computed in terms of the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p} : \overline{K} \to \mathbb{F}_p$ using the formula

$$[\beta, \eta)_K = \mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p}\left(\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\beta \frac{d\eta}{\eta}\right)\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Let B denote the subgroup of K which corresponds under Artin-Schreier theory to the $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$-extension L/K:

$$B = \{\beta \in K : X^p - X - \beta \text{ splits over } L\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Also recall that $H = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$ is the subgroup of $K^\times$ that corresponds to L/K under class field theory. Then B and H are orthogonal complements of each other with respect to $[\ ,\ )_K$:

$$H = \left\{\eta \in K^\times : [\beta, \eta)_K = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in B\right\} \tag{4.4}$$

$$B = \{\beta \in K : [\beta, \eta)_K = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \in H\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Let $E_p(X) = \prod_{p \nmid c} (1 - X^c)^{-\mu(c)/c}$ denote the Artin-Hasse exponential series over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the coefficients of $E_p(X)$ have denominators which are prime to p, and the image of $E_p(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$ is $\overline{E}_p(X)$. By [1, p. 52] we have

$$E_p(X) = \exp\left(X + \frac{1}{p}X^p + \frac{1}{p^2}X^{p^2} + \dots\right), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\exp(X)$ denotes the usual exponential series. By taking the formal derivative of (4.6) we get

$$E_p'(X) = E_p(X) \cdot \frac{\lambda(X)}{X}, \tag{4.7}$$

with $\lambda(X) = X + X^p + X^{p^2} + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Reducing this equation modulo p gives

$$\overline{E}_p'(X) = \overline{E}_p(X) \cdot \frac{\overline{\lambda}(X)}{X}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\overline{\lambda}(X)$ denotes the image of $\lambda(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$. Therefore for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_K$ we have

$$\frac{d\overline{E}_p(\alpha)}{\overline{E}_p(\alpha)} = \frac{\overline{E}_p'(\alpha)\alpha'\, dt}{\overline{E}_p(\alpha)} = \frac{\overline{\lambda}(\alpha)\alpha'\, dt}{\alpha}, \tag{4.9}$$

where α' denotes the formal derivative of α with respect to t. By applying this formula to (3.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k)))}{\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k)))} \equiv k\overline{\lambda}(r^{p^\nu}t^k)\frac{dt}{t} &+ \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{h \in S_{kj}} h\overline{\lambda}(-kc_{kp^j,h}r^{p^j}t^h)\frac{dt}{t} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^b\, dt}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\overline{K}_0$ be a subgroup of the additive group of $\overline{K}$ which is a complement of $\wp\overline{K}$; then $\overline{K}_0$ is cyclic of order p. Define

$$K_0 = \{x_0 + x_1 t^{-1} + \cdots + x_s t^{-s} \in K : s \geq 0,\ x_0 \in \overline{K}_0,\ x_i \in \overline{K},\ x_{ip} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1\}. \tag{4.11}$$

Also define $B_0 = B \cap K_0$. Then $K = K_0 \oplus \wp K$ and $B = B_0 \oplus \wp K$, so $B/\wp K \cong B_0$. Let $\xi = x_0 + x_1 t^{-1} + \cdots + x_b t^{-b}$ be an element of $K_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_K^{-b}$. We wish to determine the conditions that $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_b$ must satisfy for ξ to be in B_0. Since $B_0 \subset K_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_K^{-b}$, this will give a characterization of B_0, and hence of B.

Since B is the orthogonal complement of H with respect to $[\ ,\ )_K$ we have $\xi \in B_0$ if and only if $[\xi, \eta)_K = 0$ for all $\eta \in H$. Since $v_K(\xi) \geq -b$ we have $[\xi, \eta)_K = 0$ for all $\eta \in U_K^{b+1}$ by (4.2). Hence by Proposition 3.1 we have $\xi \in B_0$ if and only if $[\xi, t)_K = 0$ and $[\xi, \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_K^k)))_K = 0$ for all $r \in \overline{K}$ and all k such that $1 \leq k \leq b$ and $p \nmid k$. Using (4.2) we deduce that $\xi \in B$ if and only if $\mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p}(x_0) = 0$ and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p}\left(\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\xi \cdot \frac{d\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k))}{\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\overline{E}_p(r\pi_L^k))}\right)\right) = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

for all $r \in \overline{K}$ and all $1 \leq k \leq b$ such that $p \nmid k$. Since $x_0 \in \overline{K}_0$ and $\wp\overline{K} = \ker(\mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p})$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p}(x_0) = 0$ if and only if $x_0 = 0$. Using (4.10) we see that (4.12) is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p}\left(x_k r^{p^\nu} - \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{h \in S_{kj}} h c_{kp^j,h} x_h r^{p^j}\right) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Set $m = [\overline{K} : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and let τ be the Frobenius automorphism of $\overline{K}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/\mathbb{F}_p) = \{\tau^0, \tau^1, \ldots, \tau^{m-1}\}, \tag{4.14}$$

and we can rewrite (4.13) in the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_k^{p^i} \tau^{i+\nu}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{h \in S_{kj}} h c_{kp^j,h}^{p^i} x_h^{p^i} \tau^{i+j}(r). \tag{4.15}$$

Since $\tau^u = \tau^v$ if and only if $u \equiv v \pmod{m}$, this implies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_k^{p^{i-\nu}} \tau^i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{h \in S_{kj}} h c_{kp^j,h}^{p^{i-j}} x_h^{p^{i-j}} \tau^i. \tag{4.16}$$

It follows from the $\overline{K}$-independence of $\tau^0, \tau^1, \ldots, \tau^{m-1}$ that (4.16) holds if and only if

$$x_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{h \in S_{kj}} h c_{kp^j,h}^{p^{\nu-j}} x_h^{p^{\nu-j}}. \tag{4.17}$$

Let V denote the subgroup of $\overline{K}$ such that $B_0+\mathcal{M}_K^{-b+1}=Vt^{-b}+\mathcal{M}_K^{-b+1}$. Since $f_{bp^j}=b$ we have $S_{bj}=\{b\}$ for $0\le j\le \nu-1$. Therefore it follows from (4.17) that every element of V is a root of the polynomial

$$q(X)=X-\sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} bc_{bp^j,b}^{p^{\nu-j}}X^{p^{\nu-j}}. \tag{4.18}$$

Since the only ramification break of L/K is b we have $V\cong B_0\cong(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$. Hence V is equal to the set of roots of $q(X)$. Let $\psi:V\to B_0$ be the inverse of the projection of B_0 onto V. Then for each $x_b\in V$ there are uniquely determined $x_j\in\overline{K}$ such that $\psi(x_b)=x_1t^{-1}+x_2t^{-2}+\cdots+x_bt^{-b}$, with $x_i=0$ for $p\mid i$. For each k the map $\psi_k:V\to\overline{K}$ which takes x_b to x_k is a group homomorphism. The maps ψ_k can be determined inductively using (4.17).

Since the maps $\phi_i:V\to\overline{K}$ defined by $\phi_i(x)=x^{p^i}$ for $1\le i\le\nu$ are linearly independent over $\overline{K}$, they form a basis for the $\overline{K}$-vector space of homomorphisms from V to $\overline{K}$. It follows that for $0\le k\le b$ there are uniquely determined $w_{jk}\in\overline{K}$ such that

$$\psi_k(x_b)=w_{0k}x_b^{p^\nu}+w_{1k}x_b^{p^{\nu-1}}+\cdots+w_{\nu-1,k}x_b^p \tag{4.19}$$

By setting $w_j=w_{j1}t^{-1}+w_{j2}t^{-2}+\cdots+w_{jb}t^{-b}$ we get

$$\psi(x_b)=w_0x_b^{p^\nu}+w_1x_b^{p^{\nu-1}}+\cdots+w_{\nu-1}x_b^p \tag{4.20}$$

for all $x_b\in V$. Hence

$$B_0=\{w_0x_b^{p^\nu}+w_1x_b^{p^{\nu-1}}+\cdots+w_{\nu-1}x_b^p : x_b\in V\}. \tag{4.21}$$

The computations in this section can be used to derive an algorithm for computing the indices of inseparability of the extension L/K in terms of the norm group $H=\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$. Given H, we use (4.2) and (4.5) to determine B and $B_0=B\cap K_0$. For each k such that $1\le k\le b$ we get the map $\psi_k:V\to\overline{K}$, from which we obtain $w_{jk}\in\overline{K}$ satisfying (4.19). We then use reverse induction on k to determine $c_{kp^j,b}$ for all k,j such that $0\le k\le b$, $p\nmid k$, and $1\le j\le\nu-1$: For the base case we note that since V is equal to the set of roots of $q(X)$, equation (4.18) determines $c_{bp^j,b}$ for all j. Now let $1\le k<b$ be such that $p\nmid k$ and assume that we have computed $c_{rp^j,b}$ for all j,r such that $k<r\le b$ and $p\nmid r$. If $h\in S_{kj}$ and $h<b$ then $kp^j<kp^j+(b-h)p^\nu<bp^j$, so $c_{kp^j,h}=c_{kp^j+(b-h)p^\nu,b}$ has been determined. Hence (4.17) can be used to compute $c_{kp^j,b}$ for $0\le j\le\nu-1$. Once all the $c_{kp^j,b}$ have been found we use (3.24) to compute the indices of inseparability of L/K; since $i_j\ge bp^\nu-bp^j$ this computation does not depend on $c_{kp^j,b}$ for $k>b$.

5 Some explicit formulas

In this section we continue to assume that K is a local field of characteristic p whose residue field $\overline{K}$ is finite, and that L/K is a totally ramified elementary abelian p-extension of degree p^ν with a single ramification break $b\ge1$. We use the results of sections 3 and 4 to prove theorems which relate the indices of inseparability of L/K to the description of L/K in terms of Artin-Schreier theory. Our approach is to use (4.17), (4.21), and (3.24) to get relations between the K-valuations of $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{\nu-1}$ and the indices of inseparability $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_\nu$. In some cases we are able to derive explicit formulas for i_j in terms of the valuations of the w_i. We then use Schmid's formula (4.2) to translate theorems expressed in terms of Artin-Schreier theory into theorems expressed in terms of local class field theory. We remark that although B_0 and $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{\nu-1}$ depend on t, and hence on the choice of π_L, the results of this section do not, of course, depend on this choice.

Theorem 5.1 *Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$-extension with a single ramification break b satisfying $1 \le b \le p-1$. Then for $0 \le j \le \nu-1$ we have*

$$i_j = bp^\nu + \min\{p^{j'} v_K(w_{j'}) : 0 \le j' \le j\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof: Since $b < p$ we have $S_{kj} = \{b\}$ for $1 \le k \le b$ and $0 \le j \le \nu-1$. Hence for $1 \le k < b$ formula (4.17) simplifies to

$$x_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} b c_{kp^j,b}^{p^{\nu-j}} x_b^{p^{\nu-j}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Comparing this formula with (4.19) and (4.20) we get

$$w_j = \sum_{k=1}^{b} b c_{kp^j,b}^{p^{\nu-j}} t^{-k} \tag{5.3}$$

for $0 \le j \le \nu-1$. We now use induction on j to prove our claim. Since $i_0 = bp^\nu - b$, by (3.24) we get $c_{b,b} \ne 0$. Hence $v_K(w_0) = -b$, which proves the claim for $j = 0$. Let $1 \le j \le \nu-1$ and assume that the claim holds for $j-1$. If $w_j = 0$ then $c_{kp^j,b} = 0$ for $1 \le k \le b$. Since $b < p$, by (3.24) we get $i_j = i_{j-1}$. We also have

$$\min\{p^{j'} v_K(w_{j'}) : 0 \le j' \le j\} = \min\{p^{j'} v_K(w_{j'}) : 0 \le j' \le j-1\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore the claim for j follows from the claim for $j-1$ in this case. If $w_j \ne 0$ then $v_K(w_j) = -k$ for some k such that $1 \le k \le b$. Since $b < p$ this implies that the right side of (5.1) is equal to $bp^\nu - kp^j$. We also get $c_{kp^j,b} \ne 0$ and $c_{lp^j,b} = 0$ for all l such that $k < l \le b$. Since $i_{j-1} \ge bp^\nu - bp^{j-1}$ it follows from (3.24) that $i_j = bp^\nu - kp^j$. Hence the claim holds for j. □

Although there does not seem to be a simple formula for the indices of inseparability similar to Theorem 5.1 which is valid for all b, it is possible to get some information in the general case. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$ denote the unique subfield of $\overline{K}^{alg}$ with p^ν elements.

Theorem 5.2 *Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$-extension with a single ramification break $b \ge 1$. Let k satisfy $\lceil b/p \rceil \le k \le b-1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$i_j \geq bp^\nu - kp^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$.*
2. *$\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu} \subset \overline{K}$ and $B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K^{-b}$.*
3. *$w_j \in \mathcal{M}^{-k}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$.*

Proof: The equivalence of conditions 2 and 3 follows from the definition of the w_j. To prove the equivalence of conditions 1 and 3 we use reverse induction on k. For the base case, we observe that by (3.24), condition 1 holds for $k = b - 1$ if and only if $c_{bp^j,b} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$. Using (4.18) we see that this is equivalent to $q(X) = X - c_{b,b}^{p^\nu} X^{p^\nu}$, which holds if and only if condition 3 holds for $k = b - 1$.

Now let $\lceil b/p \rceil < r \leq b-1$ and assume that the theorem holds for $k = r$. If $p \mid r$ then condition 3 holds for $k = r$ if and only if condition 3 holds for $k = r - 1$, and condition 1 holds for $k = r$ if and only if condition 1 holds for $k = r - 1$ (since $p^{j+1} \nmid i_j$). Hence the theorem holds for $k = r - 1$ in this case. From now on we assume that the theorem holds for $k = r$ with $\lceil b/p \rceil < r \leq b - 1$ and $p \nmid r$.

Suppose condition 1 holds for $k = r - 1$. Then by (3.23) we see that $c_{rp^j,h} = 0$ for all (j, h) such that $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$ and $h \in S_{rj}$. Hence by (4.17) we have

$$x_r = \sum_{h \in S_{r0}} h c_{r,h}^{p^\nu} x_h^{p^\nu}. \tag{5.5}$$

Since condition 1 holds for $k = r-1$ it also holds for $k = r$. It follows from the inductive assumption that condition 3 holds for $k = r$. Hence for every h such that $r < h \leq b$ there is $m_h \in \overline{K}$ such that $x_h = m_h x_b^{p^\nu}$ for all $x_b \in V$. In particular, we have $x_b = m_b x_b^{p^\nu}$ with $m_b \neq 0$. It follows that $x_h = m_h m_b^{-1} x_b$ for $r < h \leq b$. Since $r < b$, every $h \in S_{r0}$ satisfies $r < h \leq b$. Hence by (5.5) we have

$$x_r = \sum_{h \in S_{r0}} h c_{r,h}^{p^\nu} m_h^{p^\nu} m_b^{-p^\nu} x_b^{p^\nu}. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $v_K(w_j) \geq -r$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$, and (5.6) expresses x_r as a $\overline{K}$-multiple of $x_b^{p^\nu}$, we conclude that $v_K(w_j) \geq -r + 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$. Therefore condition 3 holds for $k = r - 1$.

Assume conversely that condition 3 holds for $k = r - 1$. Then condition 3 also holds for $k = r$, so by the inductive assumption, condition 1 holds for $k = r$. It follows from (3.23) that $c_{rp^j,h} = 0$ for all $h < b$. Therefore by (4.17) we get

$$x_r = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} b c_{rp^j,b}^{p^{\nu-j}} x_b^{p^{\nu-j}}. \tag{5.7}$$

By (4.19) and (4.20) we deduce that $w_{jr} = b c_{rp^j,b}^{p^{\nu-j}}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$. It then follows from condition 3 for $k = r - 1$ that $c_{rp^j,b} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$. Using (3.24) we conclude that condition 1 holds for $k = r - 1$. □

Corollary 5.3 *Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$-extension with a single ramification break $b \geq 1$, and assume that $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$ is not contained in $\overline{K}$. Then there is at least one $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$ such that $i_j = bp^\nu - bp^j$.*

Proof: Since $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$ is not contained in $\overline{K}$, condition 2 in Theorem 5.2 does not hold for $k = b - 1$. Hence condition 1 also fails for $k = b - 1$. Choose the smallest j such that $i_j < bp^\nu - (b-1)p^j$. Then $i_j < i_{j-1}$, so $p^j \mid i_j$. Since we also have $i_j \geq bp^\nu - bp^j$ we get $i_j = bp^\nu - bp^j$. □

Corollary 5.4 *Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$-extension with a single ramification break $b \geq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 &= bp^2 + \min\{v_K(w_0), pv_K(w_1)\} && (5.8)\\ &= bp^2 + \min\{-b, pv_K(w_1)\}. && (5.9) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Set $l = -v_K(w_1)$ and $r = \lceil b/p \rceil$. If $r + 1 \leq l \leq b$ then by Theorem 5.2 we have

$$bp^2 - lp \leq i_1 < bp^2 - (l-1)p < bp^2 - b = i_0. \tag{5.10}$$

Since $i_1 < i_0$ we have $p \mid i_1$, and hence $i_1 = bp^2 - lp$. If $l \leq r$ then the conditions of Theorem 5.2 hold for $k = r$. Hence (5.7) is valid with $\nu = 2$, so $w_{1r} = bc_{rp,b}^p$. If $l = r$ we get $c_{rp,b} \neq 0$, and hence $i_1 = bp^2 - rp$ by (3.24), while if $l < r$ then $c_{rp,b} = 0$, and hence $i_1 = bp^2 - b$. We conclude that $i_1 = bp^2 + \min\{-b, pv_K(w_1)\}$ in every case. □

The power series $\overline{E}_p(X)$ induces a bijection from $\mathcal{M}_K$ onto U_K^1. This function is not a group isomorphism, but it follows from equation (6) in [1, p. 52] that

$$\overline{E}_p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \equiv \overline{E}_p(\alpha_1)\overline{E}_p(\alpha_2) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{pi}} \tag{5.11}$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{M}_K^i$. Let $\overline{\Lambda}_p : U_K^1 \to \mathcal{M}_K$ denote the inverse of the bijection induced by $\overline{E}_p(X)$. Since $\overline{E}_p(\mathcal{M}_K^i) = U_K^i$, it follows from (5.11) that for $u_1, u_2 \in U_K^i$ we have

$$\overline{\Lambda}_p(u_1u_2) \equiv \overline{\Lambda}_p(u_1) + \overline{\Lambda}_p(u_2) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^{pi}}. \tag{5.12}$$

Set $W = \overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^1)$, where $H = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$ is the subgroup of $K^\times$ that corresponds to L/K under class field theory. Suppose $i > b/p$. Then $pi \geq b + 1$, so $U_K^{pi} \subset U_K^{b+1} \subset H$. Therefore by (5.12) we see that $W \cap \mathcal{M}_K^i = \overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^i)$ is a subgroup of the additive group of $\mathcal{M}_K$.

Theorem 5.5 *Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$-extension with a single ramification break $b \geq 1$ and let k be an integer such that $p \nmid k$ and $\lceil b/p \rceil \leq k \leq b - 1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$i_j \geq bp^\nu - kp^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$.*
2. *$\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu} \subset \overline{K}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K$.*

Proof: Fix k satisfying the conditions of the theorem, choose $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_K^k$, and let $\zeta \in \overline{K}$. Since $pk - 1 \geq b$ it follows from (4.9) that

$$\frac{d\overline{E}_p(\alpha)}{\overline{E}_p(\alpha)} \equiv \alpha' \, dt \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{M}_K^b \, dt) \tag{5.13}$$

$$\frac{d\overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha)}{\overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha)} \equiv \zeta\alpha' \, dt \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{M}_K^b \, dt). \tag{5.14}$$

Hence by (4.2) we get

$$[\beta, \overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha))_K = [\zeta\beta, \overline{E}_p(\alpha))_K \tag{5.15}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_K^{-b}$.

Suppose condition 1 holds. Then by Theorem 5.2, $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu} \subset \overline{K}$ and $B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K^{-b}$. Since $k+1 > b/p$ we see that $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_K$. We need to show that $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$. Let $\beta \in B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$ and $\eta \in H \cap U_K^{k+1}$. Then $\eta = \overline{E}_p(\alpha)$ for a uniquely determined $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_K^{k+1}$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$ we have $\zeta\beta \in B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$, so by (5.15) we get

$$[\beta, \overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha))_K = [\zeta\beta, \overline{E}_p(\alpha))_K = 0. \tag{5.16}$$

Since this holds for all $\beta \in B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$ we deduce that $\overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha)$ lies in the orthogonal complement of $B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$ with respect to $[\ ,\ )_K$. Since $B = B_0 \oplus \wp K$, the orthogonal complement of B_0 is equal to the orthogonal complement of B, which is H. Since we also have $\overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha) \in U_K^{k+1}$ we get $\overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha) \in H \cap U_K^{k+1}$. Hence $\zeta\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})$ for all $\zeta \in \overline{K}$, so condition 2 holds.

Conversely, suppose that condition 2 holds. Then for every $\eta = \overline{E}_p(\alpha)$ in $H \cap U_K^{k+1}$ and every $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$ we have $\overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha) \in H \cap U_K^{k+1}$. Therefore for every $\beta \in B_0$ we get

$$[\zeta\beta, \overline{E}_p(\alpha))_K = [\beta, \overline{E}_p(\zeta\alpha))_K = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

Hence $\zeta\beta$ lies in the orthogonal complement of $H \cap U_K^{k+1}$. Since the orthogonal complement of H is $B = B_0 + \wp K$, and the orthogonal complement of U_K^{k+1} is $\mathcal{M}_K^{-k} + \wp K$, the orthogonal complement of $H \cap U_K^{k+1}$ is $B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k} + \wp K$. Since we also have $\zeta\beta \in (K_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_K^{-b}) + \overline{K}$ we get

$$\zeta\beta \in (B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k} + \wp K) \cap ((K_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_K^{-b}) + \overline{K}) \subset B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}. \tag{5.18}$$

It follows that $B_0 + \mathcal{M}_K^{-k}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K^{-b}$. Using Theorem 5.2 we deduce that condition 1 holds. □

The following result is proved in the same way as Theorem 5.5, using Corollary 5.4 in place of Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.6 *Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$-extension with a single ramification break $b \geq 1$ and set $H = N_{L/K}(L^\times)$. Let k be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1}) = \overline{\Lambda}_p(H) \cap \mathcal{M}_K^{k+1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K$. Then $i_1 = p^2b - \max\{b, pk\}$.*

6 The case $\mathbf{char}(K) = 0$

In this section we show how to extend the results of the previous section to apply to certain extensions of local fields of characteristic 0. Let K be a finite extension of the p-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and set $e = v_K(p)$. Let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension with a single ramification break b such that $1 \leq b < e$. Then $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$ for some $\nu \geq 1$.

Let π_K, π_L be uniformizers for K, L and recall that R denotes the set of Teichmüller representatives for K. Choose $c_h \in R$ such that $\pi_K = \displaystyle\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h \pi_L^{h+p^\nu}$ and let $\overline{c}_h$ denote the image of c_h in $\overline{K}$. Since $K' = \overline{K}((T))$ is a local field of characteristic p with residue field $\overline{K}$, by [5, Th. 2.2] there is a totally ramified extension L'/K' and a uniformizer $\pi_{L'}$ for L' such that $T = \displaystyle\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \overline{c}_h \pi_{L'}^{h+p^\nu}$.

For $0 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$ the inequalities $i_{j+1} \geq bp^\nu - bp^{j+1}$ and $i_j \leq i_0 = bp^\nu - b$ imply that $i_j - i_{j+1} \leq bp^{j+1} - b < ep^\nu$. Hence $i_j = \tilde{\imath}_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$. Since $c_h = 0$ if and only if $\overline{c}_h = 0$, this implies that the extension L'/K' has the same indices of inseparability as L/K. It follows that L'/K' has the same ramification data as L/K, so L'/K' has a single ramification break b. It can be shown using [5, Prop. 6.3b] that L'/K' is Galois. Hence $\mathrm{Gal}(L'/K') \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$, so the results of section 5 apply to L'/K'. Since $b+1 \leq e$ there is an isomorphism

$$\rho : \mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{M}_L^{(b+1)p^\nu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{M}_{L'}^{(b+1)p^\nu} \tag{6.1}$$

of $\overline{K}$-algebras such that $\rho(\pi_L + \mathcal{M}_L^{(b+1)p^\nu}) = \pi_{L'} + \mathcal{M}_{L'}^{(b+1)p^\nu}$. It follows from the series expressions for π_K and T that $\rho(\pi_K + \mathcal{M}_L^{(b+1)p^\nu}) = T + \mathcal{M}_{L'}^{(b+1)p^\nu}$. Set $H = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(L^\times)$ and $H' = \mathrm{N}_{L'/K'}((L')^\times)$. Let $\tilde{H}$ denote the image of $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times)$ in

$$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathcal{M}_K^{(b+1)p^\nu})^\times \cong \mathcal{O}_K^\times/U_K^{b+1} \tag{6.2}$$

and let $\tilde{H}'$ denote the image of $\mathrm{N}_{L'/K'}(\mathcal{O}_{L'}^\times)$ in

$$(\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathcal{M}_{K'}^{(b+1)p^\nu})^\times \cong \mathcal{O}_{K'}^\times/U_{K'}^{b+1}. \tag{6.3}$$

Since the norm of $u \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ is the determinant of the $\mathcal{O}_K$-endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_L$ given by $x \mapsto ux$, we have $\rho(\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}'$.

The power series $E_p(X)$ induces a bijection from $\mathcal{M}_K$ onto U_K^1. Let $\Lambda_p : U_K^1 \to \mathcal{M}_K$ denote the inverse of this bijection. Then for every $i \geq 1$, Λ_p induces a bijection from U_K^i onto $\mathcal{M}_K^i$. Let $u \in U_K^1$ and $u' \in U_{K'}^1$ be such that

$$\rho(u + \mathcal{M}_L^{(b+1)p^\nu}) = u' + \mathcal{M}_{L'}^{(b+1)p^\nu}. \tag{6.4}$$

Since $\overline{E}_p(X)$ is the reduction modulo p of $E_p(X)$ we have

$$\rho(\Lambda_p(u) + \mathcal{M}_L^{(b+1)p^\nu}) = \overline{\Lambda}_p(u') + \mathcal{M}_{K'}^{(b+1)p^\nu}. \tag{6.5}$$

Since $\rho(\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}'$, it follows that for $1 \leq k \leq b$, ρ induces a $\overline{K}$-isomorphism from $\Lambda_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$ onto $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H' \cap U_{K'}^{k+1})/\mathcal{M}_{K'}^{b+1}$. Therefore $\Lambda_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$ if and only if $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H' \cap U_{K'}^{k+1})/\mathcal{M}_{K'}^{b+1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{K'}/\mathcal{M}_{K'}^{b+1}$. Since $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H' \cap U_{K'}^{k+1}) \supset \mathcal{M}_{K'}^{b+1}$, this is equivalent to $\overline{\Lambda}_p(H' \cap U_{K'}^{k+1})$ being an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{K'}$. Hence we have the following analogs of Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.6:

Theorem 6.1 *Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let $e = v_K(p)$ be the absolute ramification index of K. Let L/K be a totally ramified $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\nu$-extension with a single ramification break b such that $1 \leq b < e$, and set $H = N_{L/K}(L^\times)$. Let k be an integer such that $p \nmid k$ and $\lceil b/p \rceil \leq k \leq b-1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$i_j \geq bp^\nu - kp^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$.*
2. *$\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu} \subset \overline{K}$ and $\Lambda_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^\nu}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$.*

Corollary 6.2 *Let K, L, b be as in Theorem 6.1 and assume that $\nu = 2$. Let k be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $\Lambda_p(H \cap U_K^{k+1})/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-subspace of $\mathcal{M}_K/\mathcal{M}_K^{b+1}$. Then $i_1 = p^2 b - \max\{b, pk\}$.*

References

[1] M. Demazure, *Lectures on p-Divisible Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **302**.

[2] I. B. Fesenko and S. V. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions. A constructive approach*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[3] M. Fried, Arithmetical properties of function fields II, The generalized Schur problem, Acta Arith. **25** (1973/74), 225–258.

[4] M. Fried and A. Mézard, Configuration spaces for wildly ramified covers, appearing in *Arithmetic Fundamental Groups and Noncommutative Algebra*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **70** (2002), 353–376.

[5] V. Heiermann, De nouveaux invariants numériques pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de corps locaux, J. Number Theory **59** (1996), 159–202.

[6] M. Monge, A constructive theory for extensions of p-adic fields, Ph. D. thesis, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa (2012).

[7] J.-P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Hermann, Paris (1962).